Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2010-09-20
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-03-07
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-03-13
Date of Last Revision: 2012-03-13

Agency: 007 - Department of Defense **Bureau:** 21 - Department of the Army

Investment Part Code: 02

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: Warfighter Information Network - Tactical Increment 2

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 007-000001208

Section B: Investment Detail

1. **Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

Warfighter Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T) is an incremental acquisition program that was re-structured by a Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE) Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) in June 2007. The restructured WIN-T program will consist of four (4) Increments: Inc 1: Networking at the Halt, Inc 2: Initial Networking on the Move Inc 3: Full Networking on the Move Inc 4: Protected Satellite Communications (SATCOM) on the Move Warfighter Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T) Increment 2 (Inc 2) provides commercial and military band satellite communications to Division, Brigade, Battalion and Company, while also providing On-The-Move (OTM) capability and a mobile infrastructure; it also provides SATCOM OTM extended to Company level. It supports limited collaboration and mission planning. It enables distribution of information via voice, data and real-time video from ground-to-ground and ground-to-satellite communications. Inc 2 extends wide area/Global Information Grid network connectivity to the lower tactical subnets at the Company level. It capitalizes on COTS/GOTS mature technologies and adds mobility to BCTs, Battalions and Companies while enabling planning, monitoring, controlling and prioritizing (PMCP) to DIV HQs and/or the Brigade network. Inc 2 is key to the Army''s Network Modernization Program. Inc 3 mature technologies will continue to be provided to Inc 2. The primary beneficiaries are the Soldiers.

2. **How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

WIN-T Inc 2 adds warfighter mobility and provides a communication network down to company level. Tactical Communication Nodes in Inc 2 is the first step in providing a mobile infrastructure on the battlefield. Combined with mobile Points of Presence, Vehicle Wireless Packages, and Soldier Network Extensions, Inc 2 enables mobile battle command from Division to Company in completely ad-hoc, self forming network. The WIN-T Inc 2 addition of embedding communications gear in the Commander's vehicles enables Secure Internet Protocol Router (SIPR) into the Warfighter platform. Commanders and select staff have the ability to maneuver anywhere on the battlefield and maintain connectivity to the network.

3. **Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

- Awarded Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) delivery order for lots 1b/2 (15 January 2011) - Completed Production Qualification Test - Contractor (PQT-C) (28 February 2011 - 1 May 2011) - Completed Logistics Demonstration (19 July 2011) - Completed Production Qualification Test - Government (PQT-G) (15 May 2011 - 14 August 2011) - Completed Logistics Demonstration (01 July 2011 - 28 July 2011).

4. **Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

- Cold Region Test - Alaska (04 -18 January 2012) - Completed - New Equipment Training (NET) to include Crew Drills (4 January 2012 - 22 March 2012) - Force Development Test and Experimentation (FDT&E) (26 March 2012 - 13 April 2012) - Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE) (25 April 2012 - 16 May 2012) - First Unit Equipped (FUE) (30 August 2012) - Full Rate Production Decision Review (FRP DR) (17 September 2012) - Start of Full Rate Production upon successful FRP DR - Contract Option Award - 1st year of FRP (20 September 2012). - Follow-on Production Contract Award (30 March 2013) - Initial Operational Capability (IOC) (10 May 2013).

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2007-06-05

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	$225.4	$16.8	$10.1	$2.8
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	$553.9	$338.4	$827.2	$785.9
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	$0.0	$0.0	$0.0	$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	$779.3	$355.2	$837.3	$788.7
O & M Costs:	$0.0	$0.0	$0.0	$0.0
O & M Govt. FTEs:	$0.0	$0.0	$0.0	$0.0
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	$779.3	$355.2	$837.3	$788.7
Total Govt. FTE costs:	0	0	0	0
# of FTE rep by costs:	0	0	0	0
Total change from prior year final President's Budget ($)		$-24.4	$-109.6	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-6.00%	-12.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

The PY funds have been reduced due to a delay in Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP). The CY funds have been reduced due to Inc 2 contract award delay.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value ($M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		W15P7T10DC007									
Awarded		DAAB0702CF404									

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:
DOD policy (DoDI 5000.2, Operations of the Defense Acquisition System, 8 December 2008, Enclosure 4, Table 5 and (IAW) Department of Defense (DOD) policy dated 7 Mar 2005, Revision to DOD Earned Value Management Policy) does not require the use of Earned Value Management (EVM) on Firm Fixed Price (FFP) including contracts, intra-government work agreements, and other agreements regardless of dollar value, and EVM is not required for cost or incentive contracts less than $20M in then-year dollars. Although no formulized EVM is used the program manager will review cost, schedule and the overall investment performance information on a regular basis.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-03-07

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost ($M)
P1208-101	Full Rate Production Decision Review	A review conducted at the conclusion of Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) effort that authorizes entry into the Full Rate Production (FRP) and Deployment effort of the Production and Deployment phase of the Defense Acquisition Management Framework. Full Rate Production (FRP) is the highest level of production readiness. Engineering/design changes are few and generally limited to quality and cost improvements. System, components or items are in rate production and meet all engineering, performance, quality and reliability requirements. All materials, manufacturing processes and procedures, inspection and test equipment are in production and controlled to six-sigma or some other appropriate quality level. FRP unit cost meets goal, funding sufficient for production at			

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost ($M)
		required rates. Lean practices well established and continuous process improvements ongoing.			

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities ($M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance ($M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost ($M)	Count of Activities
P1208-101	Full Rate Production Decision Review							

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
NONE								